Review Article

Mechanism of Action of Bacterial Transcription Terminator Rho

PASSONG IMMANUEL R CHHAKCHHUAK[@], AJAY KHATRI[@] and RANJAN SEN^{*} Laboratory of Transcription, Center for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics, Inner Ring Road, Uppal, Hyderabad 39, India

(Received on 03 May 2018; Revised on 12 June 2018; Accepted on 16 June 2018)

The bacterial transcription terminator, Rho, is a well conserved protein among the prokaryotes. It was discovered about 50 years back and still, a significant number of the researchers across the globe are actively engaged in understanding the function of this protein. Rho is a hexameric RNA-dependent helicase that dislodges transcribing RNA polymerase. Its binding site on the RNA is quite degenerated, and thereby many mRNAs are its target, which leads to regulation of a wide range of operons by the Rho-dependent termination. This genome-wide control by Rho brings in pleotropic effects in the cell physiology and hence if this termination process is perturbed, many biological processes get directly affected. In this review, we will cover various mechanistic aspects of the Rho-dependent transcription termination highlighting the significant contributions made by us over the last 12 years. We shall also describe some aspects of the cell physiology that is under the control of this factor-dependent termination process. The review includes the biochemistry and the structural analyses of the Rho, its mechanism of action, its regulation by other cellular factors and briefly cellular events controlled by this protein.

Keywords: Bacterial Transcription; Rho; NusG; NusA; Transcription Termination

Bacterial Transcription

Transcription is the first level of gene regulation that involves multiple steps, namely, initiation, elongation and termination (Fig. 1). In a typical transcription reaction, the bacterial multi-subunit RNA polymerase (RNAP) recognizes the promoter sequences located upstream of the operons and initiates the mRNA polymerization reactions. During the process of RNA polymerization, the RNAP makes a stable yet dynamic complex with the DNA and the RNA called the elongation complex (EC) (Mooney et al., 1998; Wilson and von Hippel, 1994). The EC then extends the RNA chain till it reaches a pause site or a termination signal, where it becomes unstable and dissociates (Gusarov and Nudler, 1999; von Hippel and Yager, 1992) from the DNA template that marks the end of the transcription process. The termination occurs through two types of mechanism in E. coli; extrinsic termination and intrinsic termination. The extrinsic termination requires a protein called Rho. Rho is a RNA-dependent ATPase that binds to the mRNA

sequence called *rut* (Rho utilization; C-rich region) site following which it translocates along the RNA towards the EC by its ATP dependent helicase activity, and eventually dislodges the RNA from the EC that leads to the transcription termination (Banerjee *et al.*, 2006; Mitra *et al.*, 2017). The intrinsic termination does not require any *trans* factors; it occurs at the mRNA site where a GC rich stem loop is formed followed by a poly U tract (Peters *et al.*, 2011). This RNA-signal causes transcriptional pause, hairpin nucleation, EC disruption and finally the EC dissociation (Peters *et al.*, 2011). Both the intrinsic and the extrinsic terminations are also influenced by certain factors, called Nus factors (Sen *et al.*, 2014).

The Rho protein was discovered by J. W. Roberts (1969). It is a homo-hexamer with each protomer of 46.8 kDa. Rho remains conserved in many species of bacteria and prevents unwanted expressions of downstream genes, which influences many cellular processes. In recent years various physiological roles of Rho has been identified that are

^{*}Author for Correspondence: E-mail: rsen@cdfd.org.in; @Authors contributed equally.



Fig. 1: The bacterial transcription cycle: Four stages: promoter recognition, initiation, elongation and termination. Bacterial holoenzyme is made up of a core consists of α , β , β ' and ω subunits. The core enzyme selects one of the seven sigma factors to form a holoenzyme. Once RNAP-sigma factor holoenzyme recognizes promoter, it leads to the formation of the open complex from the closed complex, and the RNA synthesis initiates by the formation of the transcription initiation complex (TIC). After the release of the sigma factor, the transcription cycle proceeds to elongation by the formation of the transcription elongation complex (TEC) that leads to the RNA synthesis. During the elongation, the EC may pause or is arrested depending on the DNA sequences. At the end, the transcription termination occurs via two ways. 1. Intrinsic (Rho-independent) termination. 2. Extrinsic (Rho-dependent) termination. Intrinsic termination occurs at a DNA sequence that transcribes a RNA forming a hairpin loop. The Rho-dependent termination requires the hexameric motor protein Rho that dislodges the EC

comprehensively described by Mitra *et al.* (2017). Here, we focus mainly on the mechanism of action of the Rho protein, its role in termination, factors that interact with Rho: and briefly state its physiology.

Biochemistry and Structure of the Rho Protein

Rho protein comprises of 419 amino acids and its functional state is a homohexamer (Fig. 2A). However, it may exist in various oligomeric states, depending on the protein concentrations, the ionic strength and the presence of the cofactors like, RNA or ATP. The homo-hexameric form was found to be the principal state in the presence of the cofactor ATP (Geiselmann *et al.*, 1992). The crystal structures of Rho revealed that the homohexamer exists as a closed or open hexamer.

The Rho protein has two major domains; Nterminal (NTD) and C-terminal Domains (CTD). The NTD contains the primary RNA-binding site (PBS) encoded by 22-116 amino acids. The amino acid residues, 103-110, form a hydrophobic pocket that binds to the nucleic acids (Skordalakes and Berger, 2003), via van der Waals interactions with the nitrogenous bases of the nucleic acids. This pocket is better fitted for the pyrimidine bases than the purines. This may explain the requirement of Rho for



Fig. 2: *The Rho structure*. (A) Hexameric closed complex and (B) Monomeric structure of the Rho protein showing its PBS, P, Q and R loops structures (PDB ID 3ICE)

cytosines (Banerjee *et al.*, 2006). The CTD comprises of P, Q and R loops (Fig. 2B). The Q-loop and R-loop form the secondary RNA binding sites (SBS) and the P-loop binds the ATP (Banerjee *et al.*, 2006). It is accepted that the RNA initially binds to the PBS in an open hexamer complex (Skordalakes and Berger, 2003) and subsequently gets isomerized into a closed hexamer complex, once the SBS is filled with the RNA and the ATPase activity is activated.

Rho-dependent Termination

The mechanism of Rho-dependent termination has been studied in detail and various models have been put forward (Mitra et al., 2017; Peters et al., 2011). The classical model comprises of the following mechanistic steps. (i) Loading of Rho onto the rut sites via its PBS as an open ring structure that isomerizes into a closed complex upon occupancy of the SBS through an unknown mechanism. This closed complex is translocation-competent. (ii) The Rho translocation along the mRNA, which should be and is kinetically coupled to catch-up the elongating RNA polymerase. (iii) Upon catching-up the EC, the Rho protein disrupts the RNAP-DNA, RNAP-RNA and the RNA:DNA hybrid interactions either by direct collisions with the EC (Dutta et al., 2008) or by pulling the RNA out of the active center of the EC (Koslover et al., 2012).

Rho Loading onto RNA

The Rho binding sites on the transcripts are called Rho utilization (*rut*) sites that are recognized by the PBS of the Rho protein. These sites are the RNA sequences located upstream of the termination zone. It is comprised of C-rich and G-poor sequences and lacks secondary structures (Banerjee et al., 2006; Ciampi, 2006; Peters et al., 2011). In agreement with that, Rho has the highest affinity for poly(C) RNA sequences. The 60-90 nt sequences of the rut site is sufficient to fill the six PBSs, one per protomer. The structural studies have suggested that Rho is loaded onto the *rut* site of RNA by its N-terminal domain (NTD) as an open-ring form (Fig. 3) (Skordalakes and Berger, 2003; Gogol et al., 1991). This event stimulates the following steps where RNA is guided into the central channel of the Rho C-terminal domain (CTD) interacting with the P-, Q- and R- loops, which leads to the formation of a closed ring form (Fig. 3). This state activates the Rho ATPase activity and the translocase activity ensues (Thomsen and Berger, 2009). In recent studies, Rho binding was observed to be unaffected by large secondary structures if the later do not interfere with the rut sites (Hollands et al., 2012, 2014; Schwartz et al., 2007b).

The Translocation of Rho Along the mRNA

Several models have been proposed to explain the mechanism of the translocation events of Rho along the RNA (Koslover *et al.*, 2012; Steinmetz and Platt, 1994). The models proposed so far are, simple tracking model, looping model and tethered tracking model (Fig. 4). In the simple tracking model, Rho leaves the *rut* site and moves onto the downstream sequences (Fig. 4A). The looping model suggests that, Rho remains



Fig. 3: *Rho isomerization.* Interconversion of Rho open complex into the closed complex in the presence of NusG, ATP, RNA (PDB ID 3ICE). Closed complex is formed when the RNA reached the SBS. This conversion process is accelerated in the presence of ATP and NusG. The closed complex is competent for the translocase activities. PBS- primary binding sites; SBS- secondary binding site

bound to *rut* site on the RNA and the intervening RNA loops out as Rho approaches the EC (Fig. 4B). Among the different models, the most likely model could be the tethered tracking model (Fig. 4C). According to this model, the Rho PBS does not leave the RNA *rut* site and tracks along the transcript in a zipper-like manner (Steinmetz and Platt, 1994). This model was supported by single-molecule force-clamp and magnetic tweezer experiments (Gocheva *et al.*, 2015; Koslover *et al.*, 2012). It has also been demonstrated that Rho makes a 7-nucleotide step during the translocation event along the mRNA (Schwartz *et al.*, 2009).

Rho-RNA Polymerase interaction

The RNAP usually pauses in the termination zone located downstream of the *rut* sites, where termination is induced by the Rho most likely by a direct collision with the RNA approaching via the RNA exit channel. This model of transcription termination based on the RNA-dependent pathway (Fig. 5A), invokes a kinetic coupling between the two moving machines, the Rho and the EC. The concept of kinetic coupling involves a direct competition between the translocating Rho on the transcript and the EC. The slowly transcribing or pause-susceptible RNAPs are more amenable to the Rho-dependent termination; likewise the faster moving RNAP is less prone to this termination (Jin *et*

al., 1988, 1992; Jin and Gross, 1988; Shashni *et al.*, 2012).

In a genome-wide ChIP assay, Rho was observed to be associated with RNAP at the promoter region in the absence of mRNA (Mooney *et al.*, 2009a). Later an *in vitro* study reported a Rho-RNAP interaction (Epshtein *et al.*, 2010; Fig. 5B). However, requirement of RNA is a prerequisite for the Rho to be associated with the EC was shown in a subsequent study (Kalyani *et al.*, 2011). Even though a stable association of the Rho and the RNAP could not be proven unequivocally, it could be perceived that a dynamic Rho-RNAP interaction occurs during the transcription termination cycle. To solve this puzzle, it is important to delineate the Rho-interacting domain(s) of RNAP by genetic screening and by fast kinetic measurements *in vitro*.

Releasing of RNA Transcripts

How Rho releases the RNA transcript from the DNA:RNA hybrid and dislodges the EC is not very clearly understood. However, many models have been proposed. According to the hyper-translocation model, Rho applies brute force that forces RNAP to move forward on the DNA template without adding nucleotides. This may cause the collapse of the transcription bubble release of RNA (Dutta *et al.*,



Fig. 4: *Translocation models of Rho along the mRNA*. (A) Simple tracking model: Rho leaves the *rut* site and tracks along RNA. (B) Looping model: Rho remains attached to the *rut* site and loops out to catch the elongation complex (EC). (C) Tether tracking model: Rho remains attached to the *rut* site but tracks along the RNA in a zipper-like manner

2008). This model is consistent with Rho's capability to translocate the EC in the presence of a protein roadblock (Park and Roberts, 2006). In the hybridshearing model, the translocating Rho breaks the stable DNA:RNA hybrids by pulling out the RNA out of the active center of the EC (Richardson, 2002). Although Rho is known to generate sufficient force to shear a streptavidin-biotin interaction (Schwartz *et al.*, 2007a), we do not know if Rho is capable of generating enough force to shear a non-U-tract hybrid, which is stabilized with the help of RNAP interactions.

Regulation of Rho-dependent Termination Nus Factors

Nus factors are a set of proteins that have a prominent role in bacterial transcription, translation and DNA repair process; the factors are NusA, NusB, NusE and NusG. They were named N-utilization substances (Nus) for their involvement in the bacteriophage Nmediated antitermination (Sen *et al.*, 2014). These factors were found to be essential for the survival of the *E.coli* with the exception of NusB. NusB deleted strains were found to be conditionally lethal (Bubunenko *et al.*, 2007). Here, we discuss about NusG and NusA that play important roles in Rho dependent termination.

NusG

NusG was first discovered as transcription elongation factor in 1992 (Li *et al.*, 1992; Sullivan and Gottesman, 1992). It is a 21kDa protein having two domains, the CTD and the NTD (Fig. 6A). The NTD (1-116 residues) comprises of α -helices surrounding four antiparallel β -sheets connected to the β -barrel of CTD (123-181). These two domains are linked by a flexible linker region. NusG-NTD interacts with RNAP through the RNAP β '-clamp helices (Belogurov *et al.*, 2009; Mooney *et al.*, 2009b). The CTD of the NusG interacts with NusE protein to play a role in transcription-translation coupling, and also interacts with Rho to help in transcription termination. This domain is also predicted to interact with the RNA



Fig. 5: *Mechanistic models of Rho-dependent terminations* (A) RNA-dependent pathway: Rho loads onto the *rut* site of the RNA transcript and translocates towards the EC. (B) RNAP-dependent model: Rho directly attaches to the RNAP and then is transferred to the *rut* site of RNA transcript and following which the translocation ensues. In both the pathways, Rho contacts EC by RNA exit channel, which leads to EC dissociation. RNA:RNA polymerase; Pi: inorganic phosphate; EC: elongation complex

through its 27 residue KOW motif (Burmann *et al.*, 2010; Chalissery *et al.*,2007, 2011; Knowlton *et al.*, 2003; Steiner *et al.*, 2002; Sullivan and Gottesman, 1992;). While the NTD is bound to the EC, the CTD could interact with different proteins; hence EC-bound NusG may act as a linker bridge between various factors.

NusG is involved in Rho dependent termination both *in vivo* (Cardinale *et al.*, 2008; Sullivan and Gottesman, 1992) and *in vitro* (Chalissery *et al.*, 2011; Mooney *et al.*, 2009b). NusG was found to be required for efficient termination in ~20% of the sense and antisense Rho dependent terminators *in vivo* (Peters *et al.*, 2012). In a purified system, NusG enhances the termination efficiency of the Rho dependent termination (Burns *et al.*, 1999; Li *et al.*, 1993). NusG has been seen to cause early termination in various

terminators and enhance termination in certain Rho mutants that are defective for termination. The early termination induced by NusG is due to the increase in the rate of isomerization of open to close complex formation by Rho at the Rho-loading site (Valabhoju et al., 2016) (Fig. 7A), and at many weaker terminators, this isomerization rate is enhanced by NusG (Shashni et al., 2014). The NusG assisted Rho-RNA interaction seems to be necessary for terminators, which have suboptimal rut sites. Some terminators are highly dependent on NusG for Rho to function properly, such as the t_{rac} terminator (Peters et al., 2012; Shashni et al., 2014). Thus, NusG seems to be responsible for efficient termination in which the terminator is suboptimal for Rho. It is important to identify those terminators and document their common characteristics. It should be noted that NusG does not improve the helicase or ATPase activity



Fig. 6: NusG and NusA. Various functional domains of *E. coli*: (A) NusG and (B) NusA as indicated with the boundaries of each domain are shown by amino acids numbers. Below each of the domain boundaries, homology modeling of the structures of the proteins are shown (NusG: PDB ID 2JVV; NusA: PDB ID 6FLQ). The structure of NusA is taken from its complex with the EC

(Nehrke *et al.*, 1993). Further detailed analyses of the mechanism of action NusG in the Rho-dependent termination is required.

NusA

The *NusA* gene was discovered through the mutations in *E. coli* that prevented λ N-dependent bacteriophage λ growth. NusA is a 55 kDa protein conserved in both the archaea and the prokarya. It consists of 495 amino acid residues (Nudler and Gottesman, 2002; Sen *et al.*, 2014). NusA interacts with RNAP through its NTD (1-137 residue) and has a flexible linker that links NTD to the three sub domains that are capable of binding to RNA: S1 (138-201), KH1 (202-276) and KH2 (277-344) (Borukhov *et al.*, 2005; Gibson *et al.*, 1993; Mah *et al.*, 1999). These are called the SKK domains. C-terminal to the SKK are the acid repeats 1 and 2 [AR1 (345-426) and AR2 (427-495)] (Fig. 6B). The AR1 domain interacts with λ N to form the λ N:AR1 complex which might be involved in antitermination (Bonin *et al.*, 2004). AR2 regulates RNAP binding to upstream promoter elements by forming a complex with the CTD of α -subunit of RNAP (Mah *et al.*, 2000; Schweimer *et al.*, 2011).

During factor-independent transcription termination, NusA interacts with the terminator hairpin structures and stabilizes it (Toulokhonov *et al.*, 2001) and that increases the efficiency of termination. It enhances pausing by stabilizing the pause-hairpin structures (Artsimovitch and Landick, 2000).

NusA mutant showed termination defect at a Rho dependent terminator, $H-19B t_{RI}$ (Saxena and Gowrishankar, 2011) that indicates its involvement in the Rho-dependent termination. One may hypothesize that as NusA binds to both the RNA and the RNAP, it could affect Rho dependent termination. A genome wide expression study indicated that the NusA-deleted and the NusG- deleted strains show similar expression patterns (Cardinale *et al.*, 2008). NusA was observed



Fig. 7: Proposed mechanisms of action of NusA and NusG: (A) Kinetic scheme depicting the isomerization steps of the OC to CC formation in the presence of NusG and NusA. NusG accelerates the forward reaction, whereas NusA competes at the *rut* site recognition step. Kinetic constants are indicated. (B) Cartoon depicting mode of NusA-Rho competition for the overlapping *rut/nut* sites

to inhibit Rho-dependent termination *in vitro* (Burns *et al.*, 1998; Kassavetis and Chamberlin, 1981). Another mode of action of NusA could be that the NusA binding region on RNA may overlap with that of the Rho binding region. A recent study described that NusA mutants in SKK domain with enhanced RNA binding affinity were able to inhibit Rho dependent termination at the λt_{R1} (having an overlapping *nut* site) more efficiently by competing with the Rho loading step (Qayyum *et al.*, 2016). It is expected that there exist overlapping NusA and Rho binding sites on many different mRNAs. Hence, NusA may function as a general negative regulator of the Rho-dependent termination (Fig. 7B).

Cellular Events Under the Control of Rhodependent Termination

Genomic analyses such as, microarrays, ChIP-Seq, and proteomics assays (Cardinal *et al.*, 2008; Mooney *et al.*, 2009; Peters *et al.*, 2012; Shashni *et al.*, 2014) revealed that the expressions of about one-third of the operons in the log phase of *E. coli* are suppressed by the Rho dependent termination. Due to the presence of degenerated binding sites on RNA, the Rho protein has acquired a pervasive mode of action leading to controlling many cellular events. The notable ones are repression of unwanted transcription, regulation of RNA remodeling, maintenance of chromosomal integrity and Mg²⁺-homeostasis. We hypothesize that Rho would emerge as a pleotropic master regulator establishing a new paradigm, where pleiotropy is favored over specificity.

In a seminal paper, Cardinale *et al.* (2008) showed that Rho-dependent termination represses toxic gene expressions (xenogenic gene expressions) from the cryptic prophages residing in the *E. coli* genome. A Rho dependent terminator, t_{rac} (Cardinale *et al.*, 2008; Shashni *et al.*, 2014), regulates the expression of the *kil* gene of the rac prophage present in the *E. coli* genome. In addition to these transcripts from the prophages, pervasive transcriptions from the anti-sense strand are quite wide-spread in bacteria. Genome-wide transcriptions are also suppressed by Rho-dependent termination (Peters *et al.*, 2009, 2012).

Binding of Rho to an upstream site could modulate the secondary structures of the immediate downstream regions of the mRNA that could have "domino effect" on the various molecular processes. A famous example in this category is the modulation of the riboswitch RNA structure by Rho-binding. The Salmonella Mg²⁺-sensing mgtA gene is under the riboswitch control. It was found that under high concentration of Mg²⁺, the riboswitch of mgtA in the 5'-UTR assumes a conformation that promotes Rho binding, which in turn leads to repression of transcription of the mgtA coding region (Hollands *et al.*, 2012, 2014). Rho is also reported to be involved in the regulatory mechanisms of the Flavin mononucleotide-sensing, *ribB* riboswitch of *E. coli* (Hollands *et al.*, 2012).

The R-loops, a three stranded nucleic acids structure may form during the transcription event, which is harmful for the cell, and is required to be removed. It had been claimed that Rho plays a role in removal of genome wide deleterious R-loops formation (Leela *et al.*, 2013). It is possible that Rho helicase activity could be instrumental in resolving this three stranded structure.

The Bacterial replication is ~20 times faster than transcription, and hence, there are possibilities of headon collisions between a replication fork and a stalled or slow-moving transcribing RNAP, which could lead to fork collapse and double-strand breaks (DBS) (Washburn and Gottesman, 2011; Dutta *et al.*, 2011). Rho dissociates stalled TEC located in the path of the replication fork, thereby prevents DSBs and maintains the genomic integrity.

Future Directions

In the last few decades, our understanding of Rhodependent transcription termination has improved tremendously, but still there are various aspects that are not understood. We sum-up various questions that should be addressed in near future and we believe answering some of these questions are in pursuance in different laboratories across the globe.

Does Rho interact with RNAP during the termination event? If so, is it a specific interaction? How and when it interacts with RNAP during the transcription cycle. Where is the Rho-interaction site(s) on the EC? Does the EC undergo various conformational changes in different stages of the termination events? Does Rho recognize a particular conformation of the EC?

To answer these questions, a detailed structural study of the Rho-EC complex is required to be solved by the cryo-EM techniques. It would be required to employ fast kinetics tools to map the interaction sites of Rho on the EC in a time-dependent manner during the transcription cycle. A genetic screen to identify RNAP mutants defective for interaction with Rho would complement structural and kinetics experiments.

The transcription elongation factor NusG plays very important role in the Rho-dependent termination, especially *in vivo*. Detailed mechanism of action of NusG during the termination process is not clear to us. We and many other laboratories are asking the following questions. Does it recruit Rho into the *rut* site as well as to the EC? Does it always remain in contact with the EC *in vivo*? Does NusG remain in complex with Rho *in vivo*? What are the functional sites of Rho for the NusG-CTD during the termination event?

NusG is required at various terminators with the suboptimal Rho-binding sites (*rut* sites). The properties of those NusG-dependent *rut* sites are not known, which is a pertinent question that needs to be solved. A combination of genomics and bioinformatics techniques would be needed to address this issue. A mechanistic question that remains to be answered is the functional stoichiometry of the Rho-NusG complex and the basis of recognition of a single site for NusG-binding out of the six equivalent sites on the Rho hexamer. Does NusG prefer the unsymmetrical open complex of Rho? Other fundamental question that needs to be answered is the nature of information that is transmitted to the EC via the NusG-NTD upon Rho-NusG CTD interactions.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Dr. Amit Kumar for preparing different structural models of Rho, NusA and NusG proteins. We also thank all the members of the laboratory to go through the manuscript. PIRC and AK are the DBT junior research fellows. The research activities of the laboratory of RS is supported by the SERB grant: EMR/2015/001620 and DBT grant: BT/PR10466/BRB/10/1271/2013 and by the CDFD intramural grants.

References

- Artsimovitch I and Landick R (2000) Pausing by bacterial RNAP polymerase is mediated by mechanistically distinct classes of signal *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97** 7090-95
- Banerjee S, Chalissery J, Bandey I and **Sen R** (2006) Rhodependent transcription termination. More questions than answers *J Microbiol* **44** 11-22
- Belogurov G A, Mooney R A, Svetlov V, Landick R and Artsimovitch I (2009) Functional specialization of transcription elongation factors *EMBO J* **28** 112-22
- Bonin I, Muhlberger R, Bourenkov G P, Huber R, Bacher A, Richter G and Wahl M C (2004) Structural basis for the interaction of *Escherichia coli* NusA with protein N of phage lambda *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101** 13762-67
- Borukhov S, Lee J and Laptenko O (2005) Bacterial transcription elongation factors: new insights into molecular mechanism of action *Molecular Microbiology* **55** 1315-24
- Bubunenko M, Baker T and Court D L (2007) Essentiality of ribosomal and transcription antitermination proteins analyzed by systematic gene replacement in *Escherichia coli J Bacteriol* **189** 2844-53
- Burmann B M, Schweimer K, Luo, Wahl M C, Stitt B L, Gottesman M E and Rösch P (2010) A NusE:NusG complex links transcription and translation *Science* **328** 501-4
- Burns C M, Lislott V R and Richardson J P (1998) Combinatorial effects of NusA and NusG on transcription elongation and Rho-dependent termination in *Escherichia coli J MolBiol* 278 307-16
- Burns C M, Nowatzke W L and John P R (1999) Activation of rho-dependent transcription termination by NusG J Biolchem 274 5245-51
- Cardinale C J, Washburn R S, Tadigotla V R, Lewis M B, Gottesman M E and Nudler E (2008) Termination factor Rho and its cofactors NusA and NusG silence foreign DNA in *E. coli Science* **320** 935-38
- Chalissery J, Banerjee S, Bandey I and Sen R (2007) Transcription termination defective mutants of Rho: role of different functions of Rho in releasing RNA from the elongation complex *J MolBiol* **371** 855-72
- Chalissery J, Muteeb G, Kalarickal N C, Shalini M, Jisha V and Sen R (2011) Interaction surface of the transcription terminator Rho required to form a complex with the Cterminal domain of the antiterminator NusG *J Mol Biol* **405** 49-64
- Ciampi M S (2006) Rho-dependent terminators and transcription termination *Microbiology* **152** 2515-28

- Dutta D, Chalissery J and Sen R (2008) Transcription termination factor Rho prefers catalytically active elongation complexes for releasing RNA *J Biol Chem* **283** 20243-51
- Dutta D, Shatalin K, Epshtein V, Gottesman M E and Nudler E (2011) Linking RNA polymerase backtracking to genome instability in *E. coli Cell* 146 533-43
- Epshtein V, Dutta D, Wade J and Nudler E (2010) An allosteric mechanism of Rho-dependent transcription termination *Nature* **463** 245-49
- Geiselmann J, Seifried S E, Yager T D, Liang C and von Hippel P H (1992) Physical properties of the *Escherichia coli* transcription termination factor Rho quaternary structure of the Rho hexamer *Biochemistry* **31** 121-32
- Gibson T J, Thompson J D and Heringa J (1993) The KH domain occurs in a diverse set of RNA-binding proteins that include the antiterminatorNusA and is probably involved in binding to nucleic acid *FEBS Letters* **324** 361-66
- Gocheva V, Gall Le Antoine, Marc Boudvillain, Emmanuel Margeat and Marcelo Nollmann (2015) Direct observation of the translocation mechanism of transcription termination factor Rho *Nucleic Acids Res* **43** 2367-77
- Gogol E P, Seifried S E and von Hippel P H (1991) Structure and assembly of the *Escherichia coli* transcription termination factor rho and its interaction with RNA. I. Cryoelectron microscopic studies *J Mol Biol* **221** 1127-38
- Gusarov I and Nudler E (1999) The mechanism of intrinsic transcription termination *Mol Cell* **3** 495-504
- Hollands K, Proshkin S, Sklyarova S, Epshtein V, Mironov A, Evgeny N and Groisman E A (2012) Riboswitch control of Rho dependent transcription termination *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109** 5376-81
- Hollands K, Sevostiyanova and Groisman E A (2014) Unusually long-lived pause required for regulation of a Rho-dependent transcription terminator *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111 E1999-2007
- Jin D J and Gross C A (1988) Mapping and sequencing of mutations in the *Escherichia coli rpoB* gene that lead to rifampicin resistance *J Mol Biol* **202** 45-58
- Jin D J, Burgess R R, Richardson J P and Gross C A (1992) Termination efficiency at Rho-dependent terminators depends on kinetic coupling between RNA polymerase and Rho Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89 1453-57
- Jin D J, Walter W A and Gross C A (1988) Characterization of the termination phenotypes of rifampicin resistant mutants J Mol Biol **202** 245-53
- Kalyani B S, Muteeb G, Qayyum M Z and Sen R (2011) Interaction with the nascent RNA is a prerequisite for the

recruitment of Rho to the transcription elongation complex in vitro J Mol Biol **413** 548-60

- Kassavetis G A and Chamberlin M J (1981) Pausing and termination of transcription within the early region of bacteriophage T7 DNA *in vitro J Biol Chem* 256 2777-86
- Knowlton J R, Bubunenko M, Andrykovitch M, Guo W, Routzahn K M, Waugh D S, Court D L and Ji X (2003) A spring-loaded state of NusG in its functional cycle is suggested by X-Ray crystallography and supported by site-directed mutants *Biochemistry* **42** 2275-81
- Koslover D J, Fazal F M, Mooney R A, Landick R and Block S
 M (2012) Binding and translocation of termination factor
 Rho studied at the single-molecule level *J Mol Biol* 423
 664-76
- Leela J K, Syeda A H, Anupama K and Gowrishankar J (2013) Rho-dependent transcription termination is essential to prevent excessive genome-wide R-loops in Escherichia coli *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110** 258-63
- Li J, Horwitz R, McCracken S and Greenblatt J (1992) NusG, a new *Escherichia coli* elongation factor involved in transcriptional antitermination by the N protein of phage lambda *J Biol Chem* **267** 6012-19
- Li J, Mason S W and Greenblatt J (1993) Elongation factor NusG interacts with termination factor Rho to regulate termination and antitermination of transcription *Gene Dev* 7 161-72
- Mah T F, Kuznedelov K, Mushegian A, Severinov K and Greenblatt J (2000) The α subunit of *E. coli* RNA polymerase activates RNA binding by NusA *Gene Dev* 14 2664-75
- Mah T F, Li J, Davidson A R and Greenblatt J (1999) Functional importance of regions in *Escherichia coli* elongation factor NusA that interact with RNA polymerase, the bacteriophage lambda N protein and RNA *Mol Microbiol* **34** 523-37
- Mitra P, Ghosh G, Hafeezunnisa and Sen R (2017) Rho protein: roles and mechanisms *Annu Rev Microbiol* **71** 687-709
- Mooney R A, Artsimovitch I and Landick R (1998) Information processing by RNA polymerase: recognition of regulatory signals during RNA chain elongation *J bacterial* **180** 3265-75
- Mooney R A, Davis S E, Peters J M, Rowland J L, Ansari A Z and Landick R (2009a) Regulator trafficking on bacterial transcription units *in vivo Mol Cell* **33** 97-108
- Mooney R A, Schweimer K, Rösch P, Gottesman M and Landick R (2009b) Two structurally independent domains of *E. coli* NusG create regulatory plasticity via distinct interactions with RNA polymerase and regulators *J Mol*

Biol **391** 341-58

- Nehrke K W, Zalatan F and Platt T (1993) NusG alters Rhodependent termination of transcription *in vitro* independent of kinetic coupling *Gene Expression* **3** 119-33
- Nudler E and Gottesman M E (2002) Transcription termination and anti-termination in *E. coli Genes Cells* **7** 755-68
- Park J S and Roberts J W (2006) Role of DNA bubble rewinding in enzymatic transcription termination *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA **103** 4870-75
- Peters J M, Mooney R A, Grass J A, Jessen E D, Tran F and Landick R (2012) Rho and NusG Suppress Pervasive Antisense Transcription in *Escherichia coli Gene Dev* **26** 2621-33
- Peters J M, Vangeloff A D and Landick R (2011) Bacterial transcription terminators: The RNA 3'- end chronicles J Mol Bio 412 793-813
- Peters J M, Mooney R A, Kuan P F, Rowland J L, Keles S and Landick R (2009) Rho directs widespread termination of intragenic and stable RNA transcription *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106 15406-11
- Qayyum M Z, Dey D and Sen R (2016) Transcription elongation factor NusA is a general antagonist of Rho-dependent termination in *Escherichia coli J Biol Chem* **291** 8090-8108
- Richardson J P (2002) Rho-dependent termination and ATPases in transcript termination *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1577** 251-60
- Roberts J W (1969) Termination Factor for RNA Synthesis *Nature* 224 1168-74
- Schwartz A, Margeat E, Rahmouni A R and Boudvillain M (2007a) Transcription termination factor Rho can displace streptavidin from biotinylated RNA J Biol Chem 282 31469-76
- Schwartz A, Rabhi M, Jacquinot F, Margeat E, Rahmouni A R and Boudvillain M (2009) A stepwise 2'-hydroxyl activation mechanism for the bacterial transcription termination factor Rho helicase *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16 1309-16
- Schwartz A, Walmacq C, Rahmouni A R and Boudvillain M (2007b) Noncanonical interactions in the management of RNA structural blocks by the transcription termination Rho helicase *Biochemistry* 46 9366-79
- Schweimer K, Prasch S, Sujatha P S, Bubunenko M, Gottesman M E and Rösch P (2011) NusA interaction with the á subunit of *E. coli* RNA polymerase is via the UP element site and releases autoinhibition *Structure* **19** 945-54

Sen R, Qayyum M Z, Muteeb G, Chalissery J and Vishalini V

(2014) Nus factors of Escherichia coli Eco Sal Plus 6 1-16

- Shashni R, Mishra S, Kalayani B S and Sen R (2012) Suppression of in vivo Rho-dependent transcription termination defects: evidence for kinetically controlled steps *Microbiology* **158** 1468-81
- Shashni R, Qayyum M Z, Vishalini V, Dey Debasish and Sen R (2014) Redundancy of primary RNA-binding functions of the bacterial transcription terminator Rho *Nucleic Acids Res* 42 9677-90
- Saxena S and Gowrishankar J (2011) Compromised factordependent transcription termination in a NusA mutant of *Escherichia coli*: spectrum of termination efficiencies generated by perturbations of Rho, NusG, NusA and H-NS family proteins *J Bacteriol* **193** 3842-50
- Skordalakes E and Berger J M (2003) Structure of the Rho transcription terminator: mechanism of mRNA recognition and helicase loading *Cell* **114** 135-46
- Steiner T, Kaiser J T, Marinkoviç S, Huber R and Wahl M C (2002) Crystal structures of transcription factor NusG in light of its nucleic acid and protein-binding activities *EMBO* J 21 4641-53

Steinmetz E J and Platt T (1994) Evidence supporting a tethered

tracking model for helicase activity of *Escherichia coli* Rho factor *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91** 1401-5

- Sullivan S L and Gottesman M E (1992) Requirement for *E. coli* NusG protein in factor-dependent transcription termination *Cell* **68** 989-94
- Thomsen N D and Berger J M (2009) Running in reverse: the structural basis for translocation polarity in hexameric helicases *Cell* **139** 523-34
- Toulokhonov I, Artsimovitch I and Landick R (2001) Allosteric control of RNA polymerase by a site that contacts nascent RNA hairpins *Science* **292** 730-33
- Valabhoju V, Agrawal S and Sen R (2016) Molecular basis of NusG-mediated regulation of Rho-dependent transcription termination in bacteria *J Biol Chem* **291** 22386-403
- von Hippel P H and Yager T D (1992) The elongation-termination decision in transcription *Science* **255** 809-12
- Washburn R S and Gottesman M E (2011) Transcription termination maintains chromosome integrity *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108** 792-97
- Wilson K S and von Hippel P H (1994) Stability of *Escherichia* coli transcription complexes near an intrinsic terminator J Mol Biol 244 36-51.